

I-0226-001

**Online Comment by User: harddrive**

Submitted on: 8/23/2006 12:30:00 AM

Comment Category: General Comments

Comment Location: Chapter-6, Page-11

Address: , , 98105

**Comment:**

I feel it is extremely important the the 520 bridge replacement, regardless of the plan selected, include the following:

- a) provisions for light rail
- b) provisions for HOV lanes
- c) bike/ pedestrian trails

The replacement of this bridge will be a legacy we pass on to our grandchildren, and its important that we get it right, and build something that will be viable long term. We CANNOT let short term budgetary considerations cause comprimises in design. I am a tax payer, and more than willing to pay additional taxes (or usage fees) to fund a quality end result.

**Comment Category: Pacific Street Interchange**

**Comment Location: Chapter-6, Page-2**

**Comment:**

The Pacific Street seems far and away the best alternative. The other alternatives just seem to ram more lanes into an already congested and environmentally stressed area. Why destroy a wonderful historic area?

The Pacific Interchange wisely moves the 520 access point away from Montlake Blvd.

**I-0226-001**

**Comment Summary:**

Regional Land Use and Transportation Planning

**Response:**

See Section 2.1 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.