

I-0233-001

**Online Comment by User: HiLarry448**

Submitted on: 10/31/2006 1:03:00 PM

Comment Category: General Comments

Comment Location: Chapter-5, Page-24

Address: , , 98122

**Comment:**

As a general comment I think a 6 lanes 2+2+HOV both directions the best way to go out of the options. It give better access to HOV and would hopefully allow for future mass transit (metro, light rail systems).

I think that a huge off ramp to the UW/husky stadium is not worth the eyesore or the environmental impact on the arboretum. When or if a better mass transit system is developed maybe it would be worth pursuing but a 100+ ft bridge for football games is not worth the damage it could cause to the ecosystem, views.

I currently live on capital hill and work in Kirkland. Regardless of what option is chosen, and hopefully NOT the one with the UW overpass, I think I will have to move or change jobs in order to continue working. While it is necessary for the bridge to get rebuilt the hassle that will be involved is going to kill the commute for pretty much everyone in the Seattle metro area. The only good thing about doing it sooner rather than later is that when the bridge collapses on itself we will have some sort of plan.

**I-0233-001**

**Comment Summary:**

6-Lane Alternative

**Response:**

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.