



SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project

COMMENT FORM

September 21, 2006 Draft EIS Public Hearings

We invite you to provide your comments on the Draft EIS. Please fill out this form, use additional sheets of paper if necessary. Deposit this in one of the marked boxes or mail it promptly to the address on the back.

Please write clearly and be as specific as possible.

Thank you for your comments!

Please tell us your zip code: 98004

Would you describe yourself as primarily a:

- Resident in the project area
- Cyclist
- Park user
- Commuter who uses SR 520
- Pedestrian
- Interested citizen
- Other _____

Please select the topic most applicable to your comment.

- | Alternatives | Environmental Topics |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Comment on All Alternatives
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4-Lane Alternative
<input type="checkbox"/> 6-Lane Alternative
<input type="checkbox"/> 6-Lane with Pacific Street Interchange
<input type="checkbox"/> 6-Lane with Second Montlake Bridge
<input type="checkbox"/> 6-Lane with South Kirkland Transit Access
<input type="checkbox"/> Other 6-Lane Option | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Construction
<input type="checkbox"/> Cultural & Historic Resources
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Funding and Tolling
<input type="checkbox"/> Land and Structures
<input type="checkbox"/> Fish and Wildlife
<input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhoods & Communities
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Noise
<input type="checkbox"/> Other Environmental Effects
<input type="checkbox"/> Parks and Recreation
<input type="checkbox"/> Transportation and Transit
<input type="checkbox"/> Wetlands/Water Resources |
| | Other Topics |
| | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General Comment
<input type="checkbox"/> Urban Design
<i>Earthquake interim fixes</i> |

I-0381-001 | We, a Bellevue family of 6, are SR520 commuters to the UW & down-town Seattle). We believe WSDOT has seriously under-estimated the cost & environmental impact of all alternatives (per expert review panel re cost) The funding shortfall will be huge! Tolls will be very high (vs \$1,075/yr estimate) & are an additional tax! We all (6) will vote NO! on the 2007 RTID!

I-0381-002 | We recommend WSDOT/governor select the 4-lane minimum footprint replacement bridge project with turn-out lanes - reduce lane width to 11' from 12' and not add bicycle lanes to bridge (only road bike paths). At the same time WSDOT should provide interim earthquake fixes for the existing SR520/Portage Bay bridge, & approach columns. For the 4-lane alternative, WSDOT should design

Continued on back

I-0381-001
Comment Summary:
 Project Costs

Response:
 See Section 3.1 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0381-002
Comment Summary:
 4-Lane Alternative

Response:
 See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0381-002

plans for a future bridge expansion - light rail is planned for I-90.

I-0381-003

We are concerned with environmental impacts to Lake WA, the UW watershed & wetlands, and the treatment of bridge/road polluted storm-water runoff - we agree the 4-lane alternative must provide storm-water runoff treatment. It is not apparent

I-0381-004

that WSDOT can manage a 66-75 month S12520 traffic disruption period, especially if the 7-40S/110V lane project proceeds currently?

I-0381-003

Comment Summary:

Water Resource Effects During Operation

Response:

See Section 15.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0381-004

Comment Summary:

Schedule

Response:

See Section 4.1 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.