
From: schick@phys.washington.edu [mailto:schick@phys.washington.edu]
Sent: Monday, September 18, 2006 4:25 PM
To: SR520Bridge@wsdot.wa.gov
Subject: SR 520 Bridge Project Feedback

Sent from: Michael Schick
Address: 2920 B Fuhrman Ave. E
City: Seattle
State: WA
County: King County
Zip: 98102
Email: schick@phys.washington.edu
Phone: 206 543 9948

Comments:

I-0449-001 To whom it may concern: I cannot understand why anyone would seriously consider expanding the 520 bridge. Certainly an expanded bridge would attract more traffic to Seattle. A large fraction of that traffic will turn onto I5. What will they do then? I5 now is at a standstill much of the time, particularly from the 520 intersection south, due to the poor design of I5 as it passes through downtown Seattle. Additional traffic from an expanded 520 would only bring traffic to a complete standstill. One cannot view this project in isolation. Unless there are concomitant plans to expand I5, one cannot rationally consider expanding 520. Replace the old four-lane bridge with another four-lane bridge if you must for safety reasons, but by no means expand it. That would be folly indeed! Sincerely, Michael Schick Resident of Portage Bay, Seattle WA

I-0449-001

Comment Summary:

4-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.