

2/27/2010

Dear Jennifer Young, it

is fine to replace an old-unsafe  
bridge. It is unexceptable to  
destroy the wetland area of the

arboretum park waterfront. What  
ever traffic issues exist now, will  
be about the same regardless of new  
lanes added to the new design.

People need to wait longer if they  
are one person per car no matter

what happens! Protect that shoreline  
or leave a legacy of more destruction.

Renate Pinch - 2211 E. McGRAW  
(206) 3231199 SEATTLE, WA. 98112

I-188-001

I-188-002

I-188-003

#### I-188-001

WSDOT has designed the project to avoid and minimize effects to wetland as much as practicable and feasible. There would be some effects to the wetlands in the Arboretum area, however the majority of the Arboretum will be unaffected. WSDOT will mitigate for effects to wetlands. Please refer to the Wetland Mitigation Plan in Attachment 9 to the Final EIS for details. In addition, WSDOT is required to develop a mitigation plan specifically for the Arboretum, details of this plan are included in Attachment 9 to the Final EIS.

#### I-188-002

The Preferred Alternative would improve traffic operations and travel times for all vehicles using the SR 520 corridor. It would also improve local traffic operations in the Montlake interchange area. Section 5.1 of the Final EIS and Chapters 5, 6, and 8 of the Final Transportation Discipline Report (Attachment 7 to the Final EIS) describe how the No Build Alternative and Preferred Alternative would affect freeway operations, local traffic, and transit operations.

#### I-188-003

Please see the response to Comment I-188-001.



Renate M. and Berry Pinch  
2211 E. McGraw St  
Seattle, WA 98112-2631

SEATTLE WA 981

FEB 2010 PM 7 L



JENNIFER YOUNG - SDEIS ENVIR. MNGR.  
600 STEWART ST.  
SUITE 520  
SEATTLE, WA. 98101

