

I-016-001

Comment – 4/2/04

I read the Seattle times article and of the alternatives I prefer either the rebuild or the aerial. You can have gorgeous landscaping and parks all over the place but there is only one place that has that gorgeous gorgeous unobstructed view of the Olympics and the water and the ferry boats and that is that viaduct, so it's a strong vote for either rebuild or aerial.

Maxine Keysling of Woodinville, thank you goodbye.

I-016-001

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments on the Rebuild Alternative. After studying several retrofitting concepts, the lead agencies found that rebuilding the viaduct would not be a cost-effective, long-term solution that adequately addresses the risks to public safety and the weakened state of the viaduct. Elements of the Rebuild and Aerial Alternatives were incorporated into the Elevated Structure Alternative, which was analyzed in the 2006 Supplemental Draft EIS and the Final EIS. Because the project has evolved since comments were submitted in 2004, please refer to the Final EIS for current information.