

I-019-001

Comment – 4/1/04

My name is Diana Worth and I am 52 years old. I have lived in Ballard for about oh my goodness since about 1989 that's about 15 years and I drive on the viaduct a lot. I say rebuild it because, it works, I like it, and it is aesthetically very pleasing. When you look in the paper and it talks about the loss of parking and increased travel times it seems to me the very best choice. So that's it, my name is Diana Worth and I am registered voter and have been for a long time if that makes any difference. There you go that's it thanks a lot.

I-019-001

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments on the Rebuild Alternative. After studying several retrofitting concepts, the lead agencies found that rebuilding the viaduct would not be a cost-effective, long-term solution that adequately addresses the risks to public safety and the weakened state of the viaduct. Elements of the Rebuild and Aerial Alternatives were incorporated into the Elevated Structure Alternative, which was analyzed in the 2006 Supplemental Draft EIS and the Final EIS. Because the project has evolved since comments were submitted in 2004, please refer to the Final EIS for current information.