

**I-022-001**

Comment – 4/15

Hi my name is Adrian and I have not read the Draft EIS, however I have read about the projects, the alternatives in the paper several times and I am a user of the viaduct and so my priorities are to be able to keep using it and also I think that if it's possible to build the tunnel not only financially but logistically while retaining the current viaduct then that would be my choice because I would love to see the waterfront less interrupted by not only the visual but the noise of the viaduct. So those are my choices and my priorities. Ok, thanks.

**I-022-001**

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments on the 2004 Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative. The alignment for the Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative has been refined in the Final EIS. The lead agencies have identified the Bored Tunnel Alternative as the preferred alternative due to its ability to best meet the project's identified purposes and needs and the support it has received from diverse interests. Because the project has evolved since comments were submitted in 2004, please refer to the Final EIS for current information.