

I-024-001

Comment – 4/28/04

Hi this Joan Douglas. I am 72 years old and I live in the Top Hat area. I use the viaduct at least 5 times a week. And I am strongly in favor of retaining it, replacing it as it is, repairing it, as it is, or whatever. I do not want to go through a tunnel. I think it would be a mistake to put it along the waterfront as a street. It's practically impossible to drive Alaskan Way now so I can't imagine putting all that traffic down there. So far as people losing their views I think they bought knowing that the viaduct so they are not losing anything they didn't have from the beginning so to speak. So that's the way I feel about it. We should keep it like it is. Thank you very much.

I-024-001

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments on the Rebuild or Aerial Alternative. After studying several retrofitting concepts, the lead agencies found that rebuilding the viaduct would not be a cost-effective, long-term solution that adequately addresses the risks to public safety and the weakened state of the viaduct. Elements of the Rebuild and Aerial Alternatives were incorporated into the Elevated Structure Alternative, which was analyzed in the 2006 Supplemental Draft EIS and the Final EIS. Because the project has evolved since comments were submitted in 2004, please refer to the Final EIS for current information.