

Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement Project

CommentID: 4634 Form 261 CommentDate 4/28/2004
Krista Appleby Organization: commuter
Address: 4821 SW Graham City Seattle State: WA Zip: 98136

1. Choose Topic:

Overall *	Tunnel	Construction Impacts and
All of the	Bypass Tunnel	Other
Rebuild	Surface	
Aerial	Seawall	

Comment:

Appears to be very thorough review of alternatives. Graphics are very helpful.

My preference is for the Tunnel Alternative. Let's connect downtown to the waterfront. I am concerned with lost parking, but I'm sure that can be resolved. I find it a little odd that there's not more green park area in this scheme - perhaps similar to the thin park trails @ Myrtle Edwards. It would be great if this could all connect. Seems we've added a few more lanes of surface traffic - why can't service vehicles turn off the regular road?

I-038-001

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments on the 2004 Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative. The alignment for the Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative has been refined in the Final EIS. The lead agencies have identified the Bored Tunnel Alternative as the preferred alternative due to its ability to best meet the project's identified purposes and needs and the support it has received from diverse interests. Because the project has evolved since comments were submitted in 2004, please refer to the Final EIS for current information. Additional information on traffic, parking, and parks is also included in the Final EIS.