AWV Draft EIS Comment Form Results:

Name: Eric Bergstrom Address: 8226 Bagley Ave N

City: Seattle State: WA Zip Code: 98103

Email: eberg11@yahoo.com Affiliation (optional):

Would like to be added to the project mailing list?

Yes

Project Comments:

1-067-001

To Whom It May Concern: I believe the tunnel option is by far the best. The surface option is obviously flawed because of increased traffic congestion. Seattle certainly does not need more of that. All the other options call for an unsightly, obtrusive structure like the current viaduct. I grew up in Chicago and love the waterfront available to the public in that great city. It is one of the aspects that makes Chicago such a desirable city to live, work and play in. It is unfortuneate that the available waterfront in Seattle is so limited in a city with so much water! A mixture of a waterfront park and Alaska Ave is the best choice for this prized real estate. It will help current waterfront businesses, stimulate new businesses, and add more energy into downtown. People of Seattle will want to be there, not just the tourists. I understand that this project is more costly than the other options, but great cities have great civil projects. It is what separates them from other average cities. Seattle has the potential to be one of North America's greatest cities, lets not waste it. Dr. Eric Bergstrom Small Business Owner- Queen Anne

I-067-001

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments on the 2004 Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative. The alignment for the Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative has been refined in the Final EIS. The lead agencies have identified the Bored Tunnel Alternative as the preferred alternative due to its ability to best meet the project's identified purposes and needs and the support it has received from diverse interests. Because the project has evolved since comments were submitted in 2004, please refer to the Final EIS for current information.