Regarding the Draft E.I.S., as a resident who lives on Alaskan Way, I'm concerned that the document does not adequately discuss the impact to my home on Alaskan Way, given the increased possibility of increased traffic, potential public hazard with that increased traffic, and does not adequately address the concerns of the increase of pollution from the vehicles traveling through there, as well as the sound and noise pollution from the additional vehicles.

I'm also concerned that the inadequacies of this Draft E.I.S., as a public document, does not provide a strong foundation upon which the public can comment on the potential options for the viaduct. That's all.

BOB MESSINA: All right. My name is Bob Messina,
M-e-s-s-i-n-a. I live at 1301 North 90th Street. I come
down to Alaskan Way for recreation via Myrtle Edwards Park,
and I often walk the waterfront all the way to King Street
Station.

After seeing the various options for replacing the Viaduct, my concern is to keep as much traffic off of Alaskan Way in the future as possible. Therefore, from all of these alternatives, the plan that keeps the most traffic off of Alaskan Way is the full tunnel option. And therefore, I support the full tunnel option. Even though it's listed as the most expensive, it is not terribly much

27

H-016-001

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments on the 2004 Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative. The alignment for the Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative has been refined in the Final EIS. The lead agencies have identified the Bored Tunnel Alternative as the preferred alternative due to its ability to best meet the project's identified purposes and needs and the support it has received from diverse interests. Because the project has evolved since comments were submitted in 2004, please refer to the Final EIS for current information.

1

2

3

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

H-016-001

H-016-001

higher than the other options. So, I wholeheartedly support the tunnel option because it, first of all, keeps more traffic off of Alaskan Way and, secondly, it opens up all of the green spaces and park blocks for pedestrians, and the bicycle lanes, and much wider sidewalks. So, that plan I'm recommending, as one voter tonight.

ERIN HOWSHAR: My name is Erin Howshar. I am a resident on Alaskan Way, and I've lived there for approximately four years.

I'm concerned about the Draft Environmental Impact
Study because I don't feel that it adequately addresses a
lot of issues that should be addressed for Downtown
residents. One, I don't feel it adequately addresses the
alternatives in a comprehensive manner. It only addresses
five alternatives, and does not address at all, routing
traffic other ways through the city and through the
downtown area. It does not focus on minimizing impact to
Downtown residents, and especially along the waterfront. I
don't feel that it adequately addresses the economic impact
that is going to be had to Downtown residents and business
owners along the waterfront, especially with regard to the
plan to build a temporary viaduct along Alaskan Way and in
front of many of the businesses and residences that are
downtown.

I don't feel that the Environmental Impact Study