----Original Message-----From: yuccapete@juno.com [mailto:yuccapete@juno.com] Sent: Monday, May 31, 2004 9:50 PM To: awvdeiscomments@wsdot.wa.gov Subject: comments

On the selection of alternatives:

I-124-001 Whatever is decided, it is absolutely imperative that the 99 corridor continue to exist as a rapid means of accessing downtown Seattle and going through to the South or North. This, of course includes easy access to W. Seattle, Seatac, etc. This is essential given the difficulties on the I-5 corridor. Also, for residents to the west of I-5 living in the city, Aurora Ave is usually a better route.

The rapidity of access must not be compromised by becoming a road interrupted by lights or stops. The number of access points should be carefully considered and limited. It should be constructed so that highway speeds are able to be maintained on the route.

As a property owner in the city, I feel I'm on the receiving end of an endless progression of property tax hikes for an infinite list of projects planned to be done. This one is essential - maybe some others aren't.

Thank you for the chance to comment-5/31/03 Peter Comanor 3821 Carr Pl N Seattle, WA 98103-8125 206-632-0918 (voice mail)

I-124-001

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your thoughts regarding the 2004 Draft EIS alternatives and preserving and improving access and mobility within the corridor. The lead agencies are committed to the wise use of public funds in the planning, design, and construction of this project. Since the publication of the 2004 Draft EIS, the project has evolved. The Bored Tunnel Alternative has been identified as the preferred alternative. Please see the Final EIS for current project information.