

May 28, 2004

Allison Ray
WSDOT Environmental Coordinator
Alaskan Way Viaduct & Seawall Replacement Project
999 Third Ave., Suite 2424
Seattle, WA 98104

RECEIVED

JUN 01 2004

AWWSP Team Office

Dear Ms. Ray:

I-130-001

We would like to express our desire that the viaduct be rebuilt in the same configuration as it presently is.

All options over and above the "rebuild" option are, in our opinion, economically unfeasible and will never happen. The dreams of parks and open spaces are luxuries that we cannot afford. Further, the history of estimating of current projects, i.e. Light Rail, Monorail, is woeful. We need to stay with a project that will meet our needs and still be within the realm of affordability. It will never happen if you go with any other option.

On a personal note, we use the viaduct all the time to come to Seattle from the south-end. The drive is the most scenic in the State of Washington. Build a tunnel, we won't come. We refuse to become moles.

Sincerely,

Charles & Becky Cox

Charles and Becky Cox
15725 25th SW
Burien, WA 98166

I-130-001

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments on the Rebuild Alternative. After studying several retrofitting concepts, the lead agencies found that rebuilding the viaduct would not be a cost-effective, long-term solution that adequately addresses the risks to public safety and the weakened state of the viaduct. Elements of the Rebuild and Aerial Alternatives were incorporated into the Elevated Structure Alternative, which was analyzed in the 2006 Supplemental Draft EIS and the Final EIS. Because the project has evolved since comments were submitted in 2004, please refer to the Final EIS for current information.