Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement Project

CommentID: Jonathan		4618 Form Dowd-Gailey	245 Organization:	IA	orld Affa	lim	CommentDate	4/28/2004	
Address			Seattle	State	WA	Zip:	98136		
	ose Topic:		4						
Overall All of the Rebuild						onstruction Impacts and ther			
Aerial			Seawall						

I-156-001

I would simply like to state my preference for the Tunnel Alternative. The various long term benefits of this solution have been amply restated. The costs are of course challenging, but there is a palletteof available funding options. Seattle needs decisive, visionary tranportation planning, and only striving for ambitious public projects will promise a Seattle that is a leader, not a follower.

I-156-001

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments on the 2004 Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative. The alignment for the Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative has been refined in the Final EIS. The lead agencies have identified the Bored Tunnel Alternative as the preferred alternative due to its ability to best meet the project's identified purposes and needs and the support it has received from diverse interests. Because the project has evolved since comments were submitted in 2004, please refer to the Final EIS for current information.