

1 Jack Whisner
2 8325 Eleventh Avenue Northwest
3 Seattle, Washington 98117
4

H-054-001 5 I'm dissatisfied with both of the preferred
6 alternatives. The six-lane cut-and-cover tunnel is
7 too expensive and provides too much limited access
8 capacity. And the six-lane replacement viaduct does
9 the same. It has the additional flaws of being too
10 big and too ugly and also continues to provide
11 freeway ramp access to Seneca and Columbia Streets,
12 which is harmful to our urban fabric in downtown
13 Seattle.

14 I would like the City and State instead to
15 consider an alternative that they rejected during the
16 first phase. That is the bypass tunnel alternative,
17 a four-lane cut-and-cover tunnel with two features
18 added to it, so it would be a hybrid option,
19 borrowing things from the preferred options.
20 It should have ramps to and from Interbay at Western
21 and Elliot Avenues and also should have dynamic
22 tolling.

23 The southbound ramp at Elliot may require that
24 that intersection be signalized so that when vehicles
25 enter from southbound Elliot Avenue, the lanes coming

H-054-001

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments on the Bypass Tunnel Alternative. However, the Bypass Tunnel Alternative was not carried forward because the traffic analysis showed that it did not maintain mobility and accessibility.

H-054-001

1 out of the battery street tunnel may have to be
2 stopped. But on East Marginal Way, further south on
3 State Route 99, we have at least four signals in a
4 six-lane profile, so we need not build the
5 replacement to accommodate 70-mile-an-hour traffic
6 through our downtown.

H-054-002

7 Dynamic tolling has the potential to more
8 efficiently allocate lane space. It will provide an
9 incentive to drivers to drive at off-peak periods
10 when the demand is less, to shift their use to other
11 times of the day when there is plenty of capacity.

12 Today the viaduct is actually rarely congested.
13 Only it's access points are congested, the on-ramp at
14 Elliot, the off-ramp at Western, and the ramps at
15 Seneca and Columbia, but there is more than
16 sufficient through capacity provided, and the two
17 preferred alternatives actually increase that
18 capacity by adding wider lanes and shoulders. You
19 need not do that.

20 I suspect that the bypass tunnel would be
21 affordable with the monies that we have on hand and
22 that it has all the urban planning benefits of the
23 six-lane tunnel without the fatal flaw of excessive
24 cost.

25 I want to make one more remark about dynamic

H-054-002

WSDOT is evaluating tolling on SR 99 as discussed in Chapter 5 of the Final EIS.

H-054-002

1 tolling. The region has experienced this kind of
2 issue twice before and come away as a leader. Once
3 in the late '70s, we were dealing with the WPPSS
4 nuclear plants, and the City of Seattle analyzed the
5 situation and applied the law of demand and showed
6 that with higher electrical rates, less power would
7 be demanded, and we didn't actually need the increase
8 in capacity that would have come from the WPPSS
9 plants.

10 And again, when we felt the solid waste crisis
11 and we were contemplating burning the waste or
12 running out of landfill space, instead we went with
13 higher garbage fees and recycling.

14 And so in both those cases, the law of demand was
15 applied, and the same thing would happen if we went
16 to system-wide dynamic tolling of our limited-access
17 highways. At the same time the viaduct is going to
18 be replaced, we're going to be working on other mega
19 projects, and so we really need to have system-wide
20 dynamic tolling, 520, I-90, I-5 reversible lanes.

H-054-003

21 My next comment is about the phasing of the
22 viaduct project. It seems to me that it's very
23 difficult to do when Mayor Nickels plans to do it
24 beginning in 2010 or 2011 because at that time, the
25 transit capacity of downtown Seattle is still rather

H-054-003

Since the 2006 Supplemental Draft EIS, the Cut-and-Cover Tunnel and Elevated Structure Alternatives and the construction approach for each of the alternatives have been refined. One construction plan is analyzed for each of the alternatives (Bored Tunnel, Cut-and-Cover Tunnel, and Elevated Structure) in the Final EIS. Chapter 3 describes each alternative and its construction plan, and Chapter 6 describes construction effects.

H-054-003

1 limited. The South-First Link light-rail alignment
2 actually limits the transit capacity of downtown
3 Seattle. We really ought to wait to do -- to shut
4 the viaduct down to the time when we have two-way
5 light-rail service in the tunnel.

H-054-004

6 There are some mitigation features that don't
7 seem to be actively under consideration right now.
8 One would be better control of the I-5 reversible
9 lanes. The ramps at Mercer Street and Stewart Street
10 now allow SOVs, and that leads to excessive traffic
11 congestion on Mercer Street, Fairview Avenue, Howell
12 Street, Stewart Street, Olive Way, and that slows
13 transit and makes transit less attractive.

14 If we could restrict those ramps, we could make
15 transit more attractive and better achieve the
16 mode-split goals of the city of Seattle in the face
17 of the viaduct project.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

H-054-004

Optimizing freeway ramp and express lane operations will be an important factor in how transit and general purpose traffic can navigate I-5 during major construction of the Alaskan Way Viaduct Replacement Project. Chapter 8 of the Final EIS and Appendix C, Transportation Discipline Report, list strategies that are being considered to help manage traffic during project construction. The lead agencies will continue to work with all local transit agencies to ensure that transit services can maintain reasonable levels of service quality on I-5 and provide a viable alternative to the single-occupant vehicle.