



Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement Project

Draft EIS Comment Form

Please use this form to give us comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (Draft EIS) for the Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement Project. The comments you make will become part of the public record for this project. Your thoughts will help decision makers develop a preferred alternative. Responses to your comments will be provided in the Final EIS.

Contact Information: At a minimum, please provide your name and Zip Code. If you would like to be added to the project mailing list, please fill out the rest of the contact information and check the box below.

Name: Alison Edgett
Organization/Membership Affiliation (optional): _____
Address: 432 Whitman Ave N.
City: Seattle, State: WA Zip: 98103
E-mail: alison-edgett@yahoo.com

☐ Check here if you would like to be added to the project mailing list.

I. Choose a topic:

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Overall Project | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tunnel Alternative | <input type="checkbox"/> Construction Impacts and Mitigation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> All of the Alternatives | <input type="checkbox"/> Bypass Tunnel Alternative | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rebuild Alternative | <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Alternative | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aerial Alternative | <input type="checkbox"/> Seawall | |

What are your comments about the project?

please see attached

(Please use additional paper if you need further comment space)

I-170-001

I believe the tunnel alternative is the best solution for both the local economy & the visual appearance of the city while allowing for the most long term ~~transportation~~ alternative & good future adjustments as our transportation needs change.

I-170-002

My biggest concern about the tunnel alternative would be access. It doesn't appear to be access at the downtown areas. What would the access off ramps @ S. Royal Brougham way look like. What kind of congestion preventative measures are you taking at these locations?

Pedestrian safety & access are vital to the tourism and culture of the waterfront.

I-170-001

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments on the 2004 Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative. The alignment for the Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative has been refined in the Final EIS. The lead agencies have identified the Bored Tunnel Alternative as the preferred alternative due to its ability to best meet the project's identified purposes and needs and the support it has received from diverse interests. Because the project has evolved since comments were submitted in 2004, please refer to the Final EIS for current information.

I-170-002

The lead agencies have identified the Bored Tunnel Alternative as the preferred alternative for this project. The Bored Tunnel Alternative removes the Columbia Street on-ramp and Seneca Street off-ramp. Instead, access would be provided at a full access interchange at S. Royal Brougham Way and S. King Street. Traffic destined for downtown would use off-ramps at S. King Street and then access a wider Alaskan Way surface street (six lanes to Yesler Way) to access the downtown streets via connecting east-west arterials. An advantage of this configuration is that traffic flow between these new ramps and Alaskan Way is expected to be more efficient than with the current ramp configuration at Seneca and Columbia streets. In other words, all downtown destined traffic would not congregate at one intersection, which happens today.

For all proposed project alternatives, safe and accessible pedestrian crossings will be provided.