

From: [Ellen Reitan](#)
To: [AWV SDEIS Comments:](#)
CC:
Subject: Replacing the Viaduct
Date: Friday, September 22, 2006 10:38:14 PM
Attachments:

Hi,

I-638-001

I wanted to comment that I am for a tunnel plan to replace the viaduct. I think it is important to keep in mind safety and money spent, but also, and this is something that doesn't usually seem to merit equal consideration, aesthetics. Actually, I consider the aesthetic aspect to be more important than financial consideration. A tunnel would beautify the waterfront and the city as a whole, and I am sure would be the plan more appreciated by future generations.

Ellen Reitan

I-638-001

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments on the 2006 Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative. The alignment for the Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative has been refined in the Final EIS. The lead agencies have identified the Bored Tunnel Alternative as the preferred alternative due to its ability to best meet the project's identified purposes and needs and the support it has received from diverse interests. Because the project has evolved since comments were submitted in 2006, please refer to the Final EIS for current information.