

From: [Robert Stephenson \(Jones Lang LaSalle\)](#)
To: [AWV SDEIS Comments](#);
CC:
Subject: Alaskan Way Viaduct replacement comments
Date: Tuesday, September 12, 2006 11:41:48 PM
Attachments:

Comments on my preferences since I can't make any of the meetings this week:

I-660-001 Bury it and re-claim the water front!

It is important that we plan for the near and far future. Large and expensive infrastructure projects like the Viaduct replacement can often be outdated by the time they are complete. Only by doing something monumental, bold, and creative do we make real positive forward progress. Often this means the difficult, long, and more expensive approach. But this is the only money worth spending. It is the difference between a patch job and an improvement.

If necessary, selectively develop some of the key valuable water front parcels for appropriate projects and developments to make the financial picture work for Seattle. The worst thing we can do is to re-build a bigger wider, and more obscene freeway along our waterfront.

Make Seattle a special and visionary City by giving it a world class water front!

Rob Stephenson, Project Manager - Jones Lang LaSalle
(425) 707-1729 (206) 501-1333 (cell) (425) 706-7329 (fax)
robert.stephenson@am.jll.com
v-steph@microsoft.com
J Microsoft Way, Redmond WA 98052

I-660-001

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments on the 2006 Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative. The alignment for the Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative has been refined in the Final EIS. The lead agencies have identified the Bored Tunnel Alternative as the preferred alternative due to its ability to best meet the project's identified purposes and needs and the support it has received from diverse interests. Because the project has evolved since comments were submitted in 2006, please refer to the Final EIS for current information.