

-----Original Message-----

From: Gessler Thomas E PSNS [mailto:gessler@psns.navy.mil]

Sent: Thursday, April 01, 2004 11:40 AM

To: 'viaduct@wsdot.wa.gov'

Subject:

I-205-001

Why does the viaduct get mostly state funding? Was there a vote on this? I believe the new viaduct should be paid for with tolls just like the Tacoma Narrows Bridge. Is this just another case of Seattle is better than Tacoma and the whole State should take the majority of the cost of the viaduct even though less than 5% of the state's population uses the viaduct?

PS: The majority of the people who voted yes for the Narrows Bridge will never use it and for almost a billion dollars we only get 2 more carpool lanes. I believe the viaduct should have a similar vote and toll.

The people who use it should pay for it

## I-205-001

During the 2009 legislative session, the Washington State Legislature passed Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill 5768, which directed WSDOT to study whether money could be raised by tolling a new SR 99 facility. WSDOT was also directed to analyze the performance of a tolled facility and the potential effects of diverted traffic on alternate routes.

The results of this initial work were reported in the "SR 99 Alaskan Way Viaduct Replacement Updated Cost and Tolling Summary Report to the Washington State Legislature" published in January 2010.

The 2010 Supplemental Draft EIS includes preliminary analysis on the effects of tolling. The Final EIS also includes a more in-depth analysis of the effects of tolling the viaduct replacement alternatives.