

April 8, 2004

Allison Ray
WSDOT Environmental Coordinator
Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement
999 Third Ave., Suite 2424
Seattle, WA 98104

I-236-001

With regard to the five alternatives under consideration, I prefer the rebuild. It does the job, is the least expensive and preserves the view for the thousands of motorists every day. I am a West Seattle resident and use the viaduct at least 2 to 3 times a week, and I always enjoy the view as I drive, not many cities can boast of such a beautiful drive. My second choice would be the Aerial.

Sincerely yours


Leon A. Harman
8465 Tillicom Rd SW
Seattle, WA 98136

I-236-001

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments on the Rebuild Alternative. After studying several retrofitting concepts, the lead agencies found that rebuilding the viaduct would not be a cost-effective, long-term solution that adequately addresses the risks to public safety and the weakened state of the viaduct. Elements of the Rebuild and Aerial Alternatives were incorporated into the Elevated Structure Alternative, which was analyzed in the 2006 Supplemental Draft EIS and the Final EIS. Because the project has evolved since comments were submitted in 2004, please refer to the Final EIS for current information.