



Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement Project

Draft EIS Comment Form

Please use this form to give us comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (Draft EIS) for the Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement Project. The comments you make will become part of the public record for this project. Your thoughts will help decision makers develop a preferred alternative. Responses to your comments will be provided in the Final EIS.

Contact Information: At a minimum, please provide your name and Zip Code. If you would like to be added to the project mailing list, please fill out the rest of the contact information and check the box below.

Name: Kerry Jones

Organization/Membership Affiliation (optional): _____

Address: 416 S. 112th

City: Seattle State: WA Zip: 98168

E-mail: _____

☐ Check here if you would like to be added to the project mailing list.

1. Choose a topic:

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Overall Project | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tunnel Alternative | <input type="checkbox"/> Construction Impacts and Mitigation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> All of the Alternatives | <input type="checkbox"/> Bypass Tunnel Alternative | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rebuild Alternative | <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Alternative | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aerial Alternative | <input type="checkbox"/> Seawall | |

What are your comments about the project?

I-284-001

Coming from the South end - I think I am attracted to both the Aerial + Tunnel options. Most of this comes from an interest in keeping capacity higher and travel times lower. I personally would like to keep the lovely view that all of us enjoy from the viaduct now - but can also appreciate the sense of space and openness that the tunnel would create on the surface.

(Please use additional paper if you need further comment space)

I-284-001

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments and recognize your preference for the Aerial or 2004 Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative. Elements of the Rebuild and Aerial Alternatives were incorporated into the Elevated Structure Alternative to meet today's safety standards while minimizing the effects of a wider structure. This alternative was analyzed in the 2006 Supplemental Draft EIS, and the design was refined in the Final EIS.

The lead agencies have identified the Bored Tunnel Alternative as the preferred alternative due to its ability to best meet the project's identified purposes and needs and the support it has received from diverse interests. Because the project has evolved since comments were submitted in 2004, please refer to the Final EIS for current information.