

I-355-001

-----Original Message-----
From: Vicki Michels [mailto:Vicki.Michels@Seattle.Gov]
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2004 12:24 PM
To: awv@wsdot.wa.gov
Subject: Idea

I recently saw something that struck me like lightning: What a wonderful, beautiful answer to the problems of both the viaduct and Lake Washington! I know it would be ridiculously expensive, but then so is the replacement of the seawall and the determination of what to do with all those cars traveling on Highway 99. We MUST put some hard money into the problem. It cannot be ignored, and it should not be dealt with on a stopgap basis.

Please see the attached photograph, and consider it.
Stop laughing.
Really.

Think about it. = =

Vicki Michels
615-0600

I-355-001

Thank you for your suggestion. Many options were looked at during the initial phases of the project's screening process. This process involved early analysis by the project team and discussions with community groups at more than 140 community meetings and community interviews, including businesses along the corridor. A total of 76 initial viaduct replacement concepts and seven seawall concepts were considered, and concepts that were not feasible, or were outside the purpose of the project, were dropped from further consideration. The most workable ideas were shaped into the alternatives analyzed in the 2004 Draft EIS. Further screening and analyses were conducted for the Supplemental Draft EISs and Final EIS. The alternatives analyzed include a range of viaduct repair and replacement designs with some elements of earlier concepts combined with other design structures as the engineering team looked at feasibility, cost, and other criteria.



file:///C:/Documents%20and%20Settings/rayall/Local%20Settings/Temporary%20Internet... 6/22/2004