

Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement Project

CommentID: 4629 Form 256 CommentDate 4/29/2004
Kenneth Miller Organization: homeowner
Address: 5413 3rd ave NW City: Seattle State: WA Zip: 98107

1. Choose Topic:

Overall *	Tunnel	Construction Impacts and
All of the	Bypass Tunnel	Other
Rebuild	Surface	
Aerial	Seawall	

Comment:

I live on Phinney Ridge and routinely use the Viaduct. Presently, at most times, it works very well north or south bound. It is extremely important to me that whatever option is selected, that it is not one that reduces capacity, including at the north entry points to the Viaduct. Thus I would strongly oppose the Bypass and Surface alternatives. I love the views from the Viaduct, but would be willing to sacrifice them for the benefit of downtown for the Tunnel Alternative.

I-359-001

I-359-001

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments on the 2004 Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative. The alignment for the Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative has been refined in the Final EIS. The lead agencies have identified the Bored Tunnel Alternative as the preferred alternative due to its ability to best meet the project's identified purposes and needs and the support it has received from diverse interests. Because the project has evolved since comments were submitted in 2004, please refer to the Final EIS for current information.