

1 their city, as being a beautiful city. And I think one of
2 the beauties of it is the waterfront area.

3 I think also that the E.I.S. has not adequately
4 addressed the traffic flow on Alaskan Way, and the loss of
5 parking spaces, the loss of the public's ability to get to
6 the waterfront and be able to use the waterfront as a
7 necessity of everyday life in the city. Thank you.

8 I think that I neglected to say in my first comment
9 about the Battery Street fly-over detour that the E.I.S.
10 did not adequately address the costs and the inconvenience
11 of that structure.

12 KAARE ERGO: Okay. My proposal would be the tunnel
13 is the one that I would like to go with, the big one,
14 six-lane. And that's pretty much it. Basically, that's
15 it. I don't know what else I could add to it. I'd just
16 like the tunnel the best.

17 I guess that's all I have. I hope that that will
18 help. I don't know how much it will help.

19 **o0o**
20
21
22
23
24
25

H-038-001

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments on the 2004 Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative. The alignment for the Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative has been refined in the Final EIS. The lead agencies have identified the Bored Tunnel Alternative as the preferred alternative due to its ability to best meet the project's identified purposes and needs and the support it has received from diverse interests. Because the project has evolved since comments were submitted in 2004, please refer to the Final EIS for current information.