Original Message---From: maryam mohit [mailto:msmohit@hotmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, May 09, 2004 9:45 PM
To: viaduct@wsdot.wa.gov
Subject: opinion on viaduct options

## I-363-001

I strongly support replacing the current viaduct with a tunnel and having access to the "north areas" such as Queen Anne and Ballard.

We should spend a little more now to have a better long-term solution.

It would be tragic to spend so many millions an still have a noisy eyesore like the current viaduct. Any surface street or arial option just reduces the long term competitiveness of our city as a wonderful place to live and visit. We need to use the rebuilding opportunity to create a wonderful business/recreation area on our waterfront.

Maryam Mohit

## I-363-001

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments on the 2004 Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative. The alignment for the Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative has been refined in the Final EIS. The lead agencies have identified the Bored Tunnel Alternative as the preferred alternative due to its ability to best meet the project's identified purposes and needs and the support it has received from diverse interests. This alternative, as well as the other build alternatives, would maintain access to the neighborhoods north of downtown. Because the project has evolved since comments were submitted in 2004, please refer to the Final EIS for current information.