

Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement Project

CommentID: 4623 Form 250 CommentDate: 4/28/2004
Doug Oleson Organization: self
Address: 3822 42nd ave sw City: seattle State: wa Zip: 98116

1. Choose Topic:

Overall *	Tunnel	Construction Impacts and
All of the	Bypass Tunnel	Other
Rebuild	Surface	
Aerial	Seawall	

Comment:

I'd rather be stuck in traffic on an elevated roadway than in a tunnel. No tunnel!
The surface option is the worst because of the increased traffic and because of the effect it would have on the public use of the waterfront. It would be as bad as running a train down the middle of Rainier Ave.
There needs to be at least one downtown exit, which I don't see with the tunnel options.
This project isn't for next week, next month, or next year, or even just for the next generation. Damn the cost. Do the right thing. Go Ariel.
"It's got to be the going, not the getting there that's good." - Harry Chapin

I-389-001

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments on the Aerial Alternative. Elements of the Rebuild and Aerial Alternatives were incorporated into the Elevated Structure Alternative to meet today's safety standards while minimizing the effects of a wider structure. This alternative was analyzed in the 2006 Supplemental Draft EIS, and the design was refined in the Final EIS. Because the project has evolved since comments were submitted in 2004, please refer to the Final EIS for current information.