----Original Message-----From: David F. W. Robison [malito:david@robison.net] Sent: Saturday, May 08, 2004 4:34 PM To: avvdeiscomments@wsdot.wa.gov Subject: Comments on Alaska Way Vladuct & Seawall Project Draft EIS

I-434-001

As a past resident of West Seattle and current resident of Ballard, I have come to use the SR99 viaduct as one of my prime driving routes. When I was in West Seattle, I rode the Metro bus on the viaduct to and from work each day. As much as I enjoy the view that the aerial structure provides, I support a tunnelbased solution to allow for the opportunity of a more pedestrian- and bike-friendly waterfront. Right now, the waterfront is marred by the sound of the cars, buses, and trucks on the viaduct.

Of the two tunnel alternatives, I prefer the Tunnel Alternative (as opposed to the Bypass TA) since it provides for greater volume of traffic on the final state route.

Thank you, David

David F. W. Robison 3037 NW 72nd St. Seattle, WA 98117-6266

phone: +1 206.228.2487 fax: +1 206.374.2208 SMS/page: 2062282487@tmomail.net

I-434-001

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments on the 2004 Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative. The alignment for the Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative has been refined in the Final EIS. The lead agencies have identified the Bored Tunnel Alternative as the preferred alternative due to its ability to best meet the project's identified purposes and needs and the support it has received from diverse interests. Because the project has evolved since comments were submitted in 2004, please refer to the Final EIS for current information.