

-----Original Message-----  
From: KEVIN ROSENFELD [mailto:karosenfeld@msn.com]  
Sent: Thursday, April 01, 2004 1:12 PM  
To: viaduct@wsdot.wa.gov  
Subject: The Amazing Seattle Waterfront!!

I-440-001

The Seattle waterfront could be something spectacular..... Do NOT expand Alaskan Way. Do NOT rebuild the Viaduct. This is an opportunity that should not be passed up. I realize that a tunnel is the most expensive option, but the rewards of such a venture--connecting the waterfront to the city and providing seemingly limitless park, commercial, and residential development potential--would far exceed such a cost.

If the bypass option is selected, I believe it would be a mistake to expand Alaskan Way. Turning Alaskan Way into a quasi-highway would taint the waterfront. Forever. (Want an example?? Check out Lake Shore Drive in Chicago.)

This project is certainly one of Seattle's biggest decisions---and certainly Mayor Nickels will forever be known by what he decides to do here. Don't go cheap. Don't allow for future regret. With a spectacular and untainted waterfront, the people of Seattle will forever thank you for it.

--Kevin

#### I-440-001

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments on the 2004 Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative. The alignment for the Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative has been refined in the Final EIS. The lead agencies have identified the Bored Tunnel Alternative as the preferred alternative due to its ability to best meet the project's identified purposes and needs and the support it has received from diverse interests. Because the project has evolved since comments were submitted in 2004, please refer to the Final EIS for current information.