

AWV Draft EIS Comment Form Results:

Name: Michael A. Schemm
Address: 610 Aloha St. #501
City: Seattle
State: WA
Zip Code: 98109
Email: mikeschemm@aol.com
Affiliation (optional):

Would like to be added to the project mailing list?

Yes

Project Comments:

I-454-001 It seems to me the rebuild is the best alternative. It is one of the lower costing options and it moves the most traffic. The tunnel choices are more expensive and probably have a higher risk of cost overruns. Also, many people, including myself, like to show off the Seattle skyline to visitors by arriving via the viaduct. Sydney, Australia has shown that the waterfront can still be beautiful with a viaduct. The surface option is not practical at all. It will not move enough traffic and it will cut off the waterfront from the rest of downtown.

Comments apply to:

All of the Alternatives

I-454-001

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments on the Rebuild Alternative. After studying several retrofitting concepts, the lead agencies found that rebuilding the viaduct would not be a cost-effective, long-term solution that adequately addresses the risks to public safety and the weakened state of the viaduct. Elements of the Rebuild and Aerial Alternatives were incorporated into the Elevated Structure Alternative, which was analyzed in the 2006 Supplemental Draft EIS and the Final EIS. Because the project has evolved since comments were submitted in 2004, please refer to the Final EIS for current information.