To Whom It May Concern:

1-460-001^[1] favorite choices for a Viaduct replacement are replacing the current structure or creating a new aerial structure. I am against the tunnel options because I think they would be very expensive and may have construction problems like sea water leakage, sink hples etc. that the above ground structures could avoid. Please send this note to the appropriate person/department. Thank you.

Sylvia Schweinberger

I-460-001

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments on the Rebuild and Aerial Alternatives. After studying several retrofitting concepts, the lead agencies found that rebuilding the viaduct would not be a cost-effective, long-term solution that adequately addresses the risks to public safety and the weakened state of the viaduct. Elements of the Rebuild and Aerial Alternatives were incorporated into the Elevated Structure Alternative, which was analyzed in the 2006 Supplemental Draft EIS and the Final EIS. Because the project has evolved since comments were submitted in 2004, please refer to the Final EIS for current information.