

Page 1 of 2



Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement Project

Draft EIS Comment Form

Please use this form to give us comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (Draft EIS) for the Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement Project. The comments you make will become part of the public record for this project. Your thoughts will help decision makers develop a preferred alternative. Responses to your comments will be provided in the Final EIS.

Contact Information: At a minimum, please provide your name and Zip Code. If you would like to be added to the project mailing list, please fill out the rest of the contact information and check the box below.

Name: Stuart Weiss
Organization/Membership Affiliation (optional): Resident
Address: 9612-55th Ave. S.
City: Seattle State: WA Zip: 98118
E-mail: _____

☐ Check here if you would like to be added to the project mailing list. on list now

1. Choose a topic:

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Overall Project | <input type="checkbox"/> Tunnel Alternative | <input type="checkbox"/> Construction Impacts and Mitigation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> All of the Alternatives | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bypass Tunnel Alternative | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rebuild Alternative | <input type="checkbox"/> Sulace Alternative | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aerial Alternative | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Seawall | |

What are your comments about the project?

I-522-001

Sea Wall: The Port of Seattle has been taking property taxes from King County residents for many years to subsidize their poorly managed Port operations. They own most of the Seattle waterfront from Pier 91 on the north to Harbour Island on the south. It is time for them

(Please use additional paper if you need further comment space)

continue on page 2

I-522-001

The seawall belongs to the City of Seattle, not the Port of Seattle. The lead agencies have identified the Bored Tunnel Alternative as the preferred alternative. Replacing the Elliott Bay Seawall would be a separate project if the Bored Tunnel Alternative is selected, because the failing seawall does not have the potential to affect the seismic stability of this alignment. However, if another build alternative is selected, the seawall would be replaced as part of this project and its design will be carefully considered. Please see Chapter 3 in the Final EIS for a description of the current configuration for each alternative in the project area.

I-522-001

From page 1
Stewart Weiss comments Page 2 of 2

to give back to the taxpayers and pay all costs of the seawall replacement including any part of tunnel construction. If they ~~now~~ refuse, the state legislature should remove their ability to levy property taxes and instead use that tax money for the seawall.

I-522-002

Options: Aerial structures are unacceptable from the aesthetic standpoint and their inability to withstand the pounding from heavy trucks. Our legislators do not understand the great damage that heavy trucks do to highways and road structures.

"Surface lanes only" should be considered if we cannot afford the cost of tunnels.

Tunnels: A 6 lane tunnel would be the best alternative but the bypass tunnel is acceptable to me from the economic viewpoint. In both cases - use of the battery tunnel is OK.

I-522-002

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments on the 2004 Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative. The alignment for the Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative has been refined in the Final EIS. The lead agencies have identified the Bored Tunnel Alternative as the preferred alternative due to its ability to best meet the project's identified purposes and needs and the support it has received from diverse interests. Because the project has evolved since comments were submitted in 2004, please refer to the Final EIS for current information.