

AWV Draft EIS Comment Form Results:

Name: Tim Wind
Address: 66 Bell
City: Seattle
State: WA
Zip Code: 98121
Email: timothywind@aol.com
Affiliation (optional):

Would like to be added to the project mailing list?

Yes

Project Comments:

I-534-001 Obviously the tunnel is the best solution, but if that proves too expensive or difficult, please consider the surface alternative. It is the cheapest, easiest, and it would still open up the waterfront and get rid of that elevated freeway marring our beautiful city. I can't conceive of actually rebuilding the viaduct -- as if it were a good thing that we want to keep! Please -- our neighbors in Portland and Vancouver have embarrassed us long enough by their superior urban planning. Let's show that Seattle is also a progressive, forward-thinking city that puts livability and the needs of pedestrians over those of automobiles.

Comments apply to:

Overall Project

I-534-001

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments on the 2004 Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative. The alignment for the Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative has been refined in the Final EIS. The Surface Alternative is no longer being considered, the reason for this is explained in Chapter 2 of the Final EIS. The lead agencies have identified the Bored Tunnel Alternative as the preferred alternative due to its ability to best meet the project's identified purposes and needs and the support it has received from diverse interests. Because the project has evolved since comments were submitted in 2004, please refer to the Final EIS for current information.