



# Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement Project

## Draft EIS Comment Form

Please use this form to give us comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (Draft EIS) for the Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement Project. The comments you make will become part of the public record for this project. Your thoughts will help decision makers develop a preferred alternative. Responses to your comments will be provided in the Final EIS.

**Contact Information:** At a minimum, please provide your name and Zip Code. If you would like to be added to the project mailing list, please fill out the rest of the contact information and check the box below.

Name: Ken Yocum  
 Organization/Membership Affiliation (optional): \_\_\_\_\_  
 Address: 2411 E Helen St  
 City: Seattle State: WA Zip: 98112  
 E-mail: kenyocum@hotmail.com

☐ Check here if you would like to be added to the project mailing list.

### 1. Choose a topic:

- |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Overall Project         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tunnel Alternative | <input type="checkbox"/> Construction Impacts and Mitigation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> All of the Alternatives | <input type="checkbox"/> Bypass Tunnel Alternative     | <input type="checkbox"/> Other                               |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rebuild Alternative     | <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Alternative           |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aerial Alternative      | <input type="checkbox"/> Seawall                       |  |

What are your comments about the project?

**I-545-001** Overall great job on an amazing amount of work accomplished.  
 my personal preference is the tunnel alternative, possibly due to  
 my feeling for connecting the city to the waterfront, and the possibility  
**I-545-002** of separating pedestrian and vehicular transportation. The reasons  
 for the tunnel over the bypass would be the added 'habitat' value  
 of the tunnel by rebuilding the seawall.

Please use additional paper if you need further comment space)

\*couldn't really grasp the habitat value. Based on a spectral measure?  
 what about quality and diversity in habitat?

### I-545-001

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments on the 2004 Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative. The alignment for the Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Alternative has been refined in the Final EIS. The lead agencies have identified the Bored Tunnel Alternative as the preferred alternative due to its ability to best meet the project's identified purposes and needs and the support it has received from diverse interests. Because the project has evolved since comments were submitted in 2004, please refer to the Final EIS for current information.

### I-545-002

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments. The lead agencies have identified the Bored Tunnel Alternative as the preferred alternative. Replacing the Elliott Bay Seawall would be a separate project if the Bored Tunnel Alternative is selected because the failing seawall does not have the potential to affect the seismic stability of this alignment. Please see Chapter 3 in the Final EIS for a description of the current configuration for each alternative in the project area.

If another build alternative is selected, which would include the replacement of the seawall, habitat value would be added by increasing the amount of aquatic habitat, relative to the area and volume of Elliott Bay, as discussed in the Wildlife, Fish, and Vegetation Discipline Report, Appendix N of the Final EIS.