

H.E. "Bud" Shasteen, 7333 39th Avenue Southwest, Seattle, 98136.

H-003-001

MR. SHASTEEN: I am with the Stop The Tunnel group, and we still are very active in trying to get the alternative that we preferred, we think would be a lot more reasonable and a lot less trouble for people, and that is retrofitting the present viaduct. And we think that it would be a lot less expensive for the people; don't see how the people of Seattle can afford this.

(End of comment.)

H-003-001

The Final EIS Chapter 2, Alternatives Development, describes environmental documentation that occurred prior to the 2010 Supplemental Draft EIS, including the screening criteria used to develop the alternatives evaluated in the environmental process for this project. The alternatives development process included evaluation of the Rebuild Alternative. After studying several retrofitting concepts, the lead agencies found that rebuilding the viaduct would not be cost effective or a prudent use of public monies. Therefore it is not a reasonable alternative. Instead, the elements of the Rebuild Alternative have been incorporated into the Elevated Structure Alternative, which was considered in the Final EIS.