

I-011-001

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments on the Bored Tunnel Alternative.

Alaskan Way Viaduct Replacement Project 2010 Supplemental Draft EIS Comment Form

Please use this form to give us comments on the 2010 Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Alaskan Way Viaduct Replacement Project. The comments you make will become part of the public record for this project. Responses to your comments will be provided in the Final EIS.

Contact Information

☐ Check here if you would like to be added to the project mailing list. At a minimum, please provide your name and zip code. If you would like to be added to the project mailing list, please fill out the rest of the contact information and check the box above.

Name Madeline Beery
Address 1018 W. Garfield St.
City Seattle State WA Zip 98119
E-mail ai@nwlink.com
Organization/Membership Affiliations (optional) _____

Choose a topic

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Overall Project | <input type="checkbox"/> Cut-&-Cover Tunnel Alternative | <input type="checkbox"/> Construction Impacts & Mitigation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> All of the Alternatives | <input type="checkbox"/> Elevated Structure Alternative | <input type="checkbox"/> Traffic Impacts & Mitigation |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bored Tunnel Alternative | <input type="checkbox"/> Tolling Option | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |

What are your comments about the Project?

I think the bored tunnel is clearly the way to go. I travel on the Viaduct on 4 of the 5 workdays. It desperately needs replacement ASAP. We need a modern, workable way to move traffic through Seattle. King County brings roughly 50% of the revenue to the State. We provide the transportation hub and the core of the state's economic vitality. The State needs and wants to have in it's development. More funds quickly. The time for discussion is in the past.

1. Is this the first EIS you have read?
☐ Yes ☒ No

2. Have you previously participated in public meetings/comment periods related to the AWW project?
☐ Yes ☒ No

3. Did you find this Supplemental Draft EIS format easy to understand?
☒ Yes ☐ No Why or why not?

4. Did the graphics help make the Supplemental Draft EIS easier to review and understand?
☒ Yes ☐ No Very useful.

5. Did you refer to the technical appendices?
☐ Yes ☒ No

6. What did or didn't you find helpful when reading this Supplemental Draft EIS?

The videos and the graphic displays.