

**I-013-001**

FHWA, WSDOT, and the City of Seattle appreciate receiving your comments on the Bored Tunnel Alternative.

---

**From:** Mark D. Blitzer [pfeffer828@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 31, 2010 6:33 PM  
**To:** AWV SDEIS Comments  
**Subject:** comments on viaduct replacement

**I-013-001**

I believe that the deep bore tunnel is the best all around solution to the fact that the viaduct needs to come down. It will be a solution for the ages--we won't have to revisit this issue ever again. The sooner we start, the fewer the cost overruns, if there would be any at all. Besides, the decision has been made. Now it's [long over] time to finalize the process so that we can go forward without any legal or voter hanky panky to deal with.

Sincerely,

Mark D. Blitzer  
Seattle, Washington

---

**From:** Mark D. Blitzer [pfeffer828@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Sunday, December 05, 2010 6:14 PM  
**To:** AWV SDEIS Comments  
**Subject:** Preferred replacement for Central Waterfront Viaduct

**I-013-001** | I prefer the tunnel option. One advantage it has is that the current viaduct can remain open during the construction of the tunnel, a huge "selling point" in my opinion. The tunnel will be only a mile (or slightly longer). You'd think we were tunnelling to Bainbridge for all the angst over this project! We really need to build for the ages, not some stop-gap plan--even if it might be less expensive. Let's do it right the FIRST (or should I say SECOND time--first was the mistaken viaduct to begin with, but now we know [I hope] better).

Sincerely,  
Mark D. Blitzer  
Seattle, Washington