

**Alaskan Way Viaduct Replacement Project 2010 Supplemental Draft EIS
Comment Form**

Please use this form to give us comments on the 2010 Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Alaskan Way Viaduct Replacement Project. The comments you make will become part of the public record for this project. Responses to your comments will be provided in the Final EIS.

Contact Information

☐ Check here if you would like to be added to the project mailing list. At a minimum, please provide your name and zip code. If you would like to be added to the project mailing list, please fill out the rest of the contact information and check the box above.

Name Breanne Cahn
Address 514 W Condo
City Seattle State WA Zip 98119
E-mail _____
Organization/Membership Affiliations (optional) _____

Choose a topic

- | | | |
|---|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Overall Project | <input type="checkbox"/> Cut-&Cover Tunnel Alternative | <input type="checkbox"/> Construction Impacts & Mitigation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> All of the Alternatives | <input type="checkbox"/> Elevated Structure Alternative | <input type="checkbox"/> Traffic Impacts & Mitigation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bored Tunnel Alternative | <input type="checkbox"/> Tolling Option | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |

What are your comments about the Project?

I-022-001

Would like to have seen more comparative
Tunnel and rebuilding via duct.
The feel of Seattle with Vinland gives the incomparable
view of the perfect mix of nature & city together. One cannot
replace, being for a tall the AH!! Beauty factor of what we have
in Seattle. Here's a suggestion - cut out the Boeing 200
Bridge &

Your answers to the questions below will let the agencies know if the Supplemental Draft EIS format was helpful. Your answers

to these questions are not part of the EIS process and they will not receive a response.

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is this the first EIS you have read?
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | 4. Did the graphics help make the Supplemental Draft EIS easier to review and understand?
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| 2. Have you previously participated in public meetings/ comment periods related to the AWW project?
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | 5. Did you refer to the technical appendices?
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| 3. Did you find this Supplemental Draft EIS format easy to understand?
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Why or why not? | 6. What did or didn't you find helpful when reading this Supplemental Draft EIS? |

I-022-001

Chapter 2, Alternatives Development, of the Final EIS describes environmental documentation that occurred prior to the 2010 Supplemental Draft EIS. This included evaluation of the Rebuild Alternative, which was defined as replacing the viaduct in its existing location with a similar structure, in the 2004 Draft EIS. The lead agencies found that rebuilding the viaduct would not be a wise investment or long-term solution because the facility would not address the risks to public safety as it would not meet current safety standards.

Instead, elements of the Rebuild and Aerial Alternatives were incorporated into the Elevated Structure Alternative, which was analyzed in the 2006 Supplemental Draft EIS and the Final EIS. The Final EIS compares all the build alternatives.

Please refer to the Final EIS for current information.