
From: hansg@freelandgroup.com [mailto:hansg@freelandgroup.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 27, 2006 8:29 PM
To: SR520Bridge@wsdot.wa.gov
Subject: SR 520 Bridge Project Feedback

Sent from: Hans Gundersen
Address:
City:
State: WA
County: King County
Zip: 98052
Email: hansg@freelandgroup.com
Phone: 4258837582

Comments:

I-0484-001 It is incomprehensible that a 4-lane replacement option is under consideration. Are we building to the needs of the past century or the current? The 6-lane option may have met the needs at the end of the past century. We must build a bridge for the projected needs through at least the middle of this century. That means light rail plus 8 lanes and a bicycle lane. Anything less than that is repeating the same mistakes - building for what was, not what will be. Adding capacity later - given our ability to plan and execute projects of this magnitude - simply will likely never happen. And the cost will again be enormous. We must for once do it right the first time around.

I-0484-001

Comment Summary:

4-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.