

I-0538-001

Comment Summary:

Pacific Street Interchange Option

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

From: [DONALD G ROSEN](#)
To: [SR 520 DEIS Comments;](#)
CC:
Subject: SR520 Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Thursday, October 12, 2006 9:52:36 PM
Attachments:

Paul Krueger
Environmental Manager
SR520 Project office
Seattle, WA

Reference: SR520 DEIS

Gentlemen:

I-0538-001

I have been a Montlake resident (1822 East Shelby Street) since 1963. As the time has passed between 1963 and today, I have watched the traffic on Montlake Boulevard increase to its current level.

After reviewing the alternative plans proposed in the DEIS, the Six-lane alternative with the Pacific Street Interchange option appears to be the only logical choice to mitigate the Montlake Boulevard congestion that is now imposed on drivers attempting to access SR520 from the North.

All of the other alternatives would require traffic to continue to come South over the canal, as it currently does, just to go two blocks to access SR520. Although I am not a traffic engineer, these other alternatives, all of which require this feature, appear doomed to make the Boulevard congestion worse, not better. It seems so logical for this Southbound traffic to access SR520 without having to cross the Montlake Bridge!

Also, the PSI option would eliminate SR520 on and off ramps at Montlake, allowing a partial restoration of the neighborhood to its pre-SR520 condition. This would be a blessing.

My preference, of all the choices presented in the DEIS, even though more costly, is the Pacific Street Interchange option associated with the

I-0538-001 | Six-lane alternative.

Regards,

Donald G, Rosen