

Online Comment by User: jeanseattle

Submitted on: 10/31/2006 6:29:00 AM

Comment Category: Comment on all alternatives

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

Address: 3008 e laurelhurst dr ne, seattle, wa 98105

Comment:

Please consider my comments in total accord with those of the Laurelhurst Community Club which I helped compile and Dee Arnst's comments.

Opposed to HUGE Pacific Interchange option for visual and noise impacts to north and to damaging effect to UW.

Don't think the wetlands impacts have been addressed adequately. Replacing any loss elsewhere does not meet Seattle's and Arboretum's needs.

I believe UW's comments that there is no real transit hub in the Pac Int design.

Construction impacts to UW and N and NE Seattle (as well as some spots south) will be unendurable. DEIS suggests they be mitigated but list no specifics as to HOW? NE 45th full so if Montlake lane closures occurs, we in the north will not be able to move south!

4 lanes with shoulders should do wonders for traffic throughput, especially if you get rid of the western high rise. I see traffic daily crawl up going east, then to speed down when visibility better.

Add an HOV lane southbound on Montlake Blvd. to motivate and reward a mode change and to allow bus routes back to Montlake Blvd.

Jean Amick

I-0566-001

I-0566-002

I-0566-001

Comment Summary:

Pacific Street Interchange Option

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0566-002

Comment Summary:

North of Montlake Cut

Response:

See Section 2.1 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.