

Online Comment by User: Juliaundwes

Submitted on: 10/31/2006 1:30:00 PM

Comment Category: Transportation and Traffic

Comment Location: Chapter-6, Page-13

Address: , , 98144

Comment:

I-0622-001

All options being presented are failing to address high-speed mass transit (light rail) as an extremely important mode of transportation that MUST be integrated into the whole of our transportation network. Cars and busses are NOT and will NEVER BE the answer.

Why is light rail being looked at as if the only line that will ever exist is the one currently being built? Where is the foresight that has already brought so many cities an effective rail system (example: Portland)? For everyone's sake, light rail MUST extend to the eastside. Lake Washington is much too large for I-90 to be the sole connection.

I-0622-002

Increased capacity on 520 should not be thought of in terms of cars and busses, rather ridership on light rail. SOLUTION: 4-lane alternative, with accommodation for future light rail connecting to UW station.

ps - Thank you for including bicyclists. This is more important than a non-cyclist could ever imagine!

I-0622-001

Comment Summary:

Light Rail Transit

Response:

See Section 2.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0622-002

Comment Summary:

4-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.