

**Online Comment by User: kai\_girard**

---

Submitted on: 10/26/2006 7:15:00 PM

Comment Category: Comment on all alternatives

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

Address: , , 89103

**Comment:**

I have been made aware of the potential impact of this proposed action on the University of Washington and the UW climbing rock. The rock is a cultural icon in Seattle, and should be treated as such. I am opposed to the 6 lane alternative as it will destroy that site as well as the Pacific St. alternative. Getting more people in and out of Seattle will not matter in the least if we continually destroy the things that make Seattle as amazing as it is. The 4 lane alternative is acceptable as it leaves at least this site untouched, though the no-change alternative is ideal. Thanks for your time, Sincerely, Kai Girard

I-0632-001

**I-0632-001**

**Comment Summary:**

4-Lane Alternative

**Response:**

See Section 2.0 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.