

**Online Comment by User: lorasdo**

---

**Submitted on:** 10/31/2006 12:52:00 PM

**Comment Category:** General Comments

**Comment Location:** Chapter-1, Page-1

**Address:** , , 98115

**Comment:**

**I-0686-001**

Why must we be first in line to sacrifice our environment and one of our city's gems for the benefit of mostly single use automobile transportation? What happens when six lanes aren't sufficient to carry traffic? Roads only breed more trips, increase pollution and defer responsible investments in public transit infrastructure. Vancouver maintains Stanley Park inviolate, San Francisco chose not to replace the Embarcadero, and NYC, years ago, stopped Robert Moses from destroying lower Manhattan with a crosstown freeway. A new six lane interchange is not necessary. A better way would be to replace the Evergreen Bridge with a new structure to include dedicated mass transit while keeping the existing footprint for automobile traffic the same.

**I-0686-001**

**Comment Summary:**

Regional Land Use and Transportation Planning

**Response:**

See Section 6.4 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.