

Online Comment by User: PaulFChapman

Submitted on: 10/18/2006 7:01:00 AM

Comment Category: Other 6-Lane Options

Comment Location: Chapter-10, Page-2

Address: , , 98103

Comment:

I-0780-001 | A Montlake Freeway stop is critical hundreds (if not thousands) of commuters use that stop!

I-0780-001

Comment Summary:

Montlake Freeway Transit Station

Response:

See Section 2.1 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0780-002

Comment Category: Second Montlake Bridge

Comment Location: Chapter-5, Page-28

Comment:

Eliminating the Montlake stop is a bad, bad idea. Right now I (and many others) connect to bus routes coming from downtown at Montlake. We do this because it is much faster and convenient to get the bus at Montlake than to get the bus downtown (going downtown would add half an hour to my already 1-hour commute each day! I've tried it!). Unless these numerous bus routes are re-routed to go through the Pacific Street Transfer Point (not likely!), eliminating the Montlake Stop is going to cut off hundreds of commuters from convenient bus access.

Clearly even a rebuilt or expanded 520 bridge is not going to accommodate all of the traffic in the corridor in the future. It is critical that transit service be improved by the new bridge. And that means keeping the Montlake Flyer stop.

I-0780-002

Comment Summary:

Montlake Freeway Transit Station

Response:

See Section 2.1 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.