

Online Comment by User: Pinot999

Submitted on: 10/31/2006 12:18:00 AM

Comment Category: Comments on Alternatives

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

Address: , , 98105

Comment:

I think the Pacific Interchange Bridge option is a weak idea. You're proposing to distroy one of Seattle's greatest natural resources at great tax payer expense. For the last 5 years my daily commute has taken me along Montlake to Pacific Ave. The issue is not so much Montlake, it's 520. If you're so concerned about Montlake, why not just re-do the bridge and add a few more lanes. Yes, there would be some houses (perhaps 6) displaced, but that would certainly be cheaper than the 110 ft. bridge.

Thanks,

Peter

Comment Category: Comments on Alternatives

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

Comment:

I think the Pacific Interchange Bridge option is a weak idea. You're proposing to distroy one of Seattle's greatest natural resources at great tax payer expense. For the last 5 years my daily commute has taken me along Montlake to Pacific Ave. The issue is not so much Montlake, it's 520. If you're so concerned about Montlake, why not just re-do the bridge and add a few more lanes. Yes, there would be some houses (perhaps 6) displaced, but that would certainly be cheaper than the 110 ft. bridge.

Thanks,

Peter

I-0795-001

I-0795-001

Comment Summary:

Pacific Street Interchange Option

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.