

Online Comment by User: RichardBorkowski

Submitted on: 10/31/2006 11:58:00 PM

Comment Category: Pacific Street Interchange

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

Address: , , 98102

Comment:

- I-0825-001** | The 6-lane alternative is not needed, not affordable and it would make the whole traffic situation worse. I-5 cannot accommodate the extra traffic that would be generated by the 6 lane alternative. The Aboretum is also protected by Section 4(f) of the Federal code, which prohibits the use of parks for highway projects.
- I-0825-002** | A new 4-lane bridge with wider lanes and bike lanes will accommodate more traffic if the lanes are widened and the bridge is straightened.
- I-0825-003** | Regarding the Pacific Interchange option, the transit options are poorly thought through. It makes alot of assumptions about transit operations that simply are not true. The transit operations need to be thought through better as well.
- I-0825-004** | In summary look more closely at the 4-lane option. It makes more sense than a 4 or 6 lane bridge.

Richard Borkowski

I-0825-001

Comment Summary:

6-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0825-002

Comment Summary:

4-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 2.0 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0825-003

Comment Summary:

Eastside Concerns

Response:

See Section 24.0 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0825-004

Comment Summary:

4-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.