

Online Comment by User: RobertBlumberg

Submitted on: 10/4/2006 9:57:00 AM

Comment Category: Comments on Alternatives

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

Address: 2235 Fairview Ave E #13, Seattle, 98102 98102

Comment:

I wish to voice my very strong opposition to the 6-lane design of the 520 bridge. This is a watershed issue for me: are you in favor of Seattle livability, lower pollution, and public transportation? If so, the four-lane alternative is the better choice.

Even though I travel to Bellevue and back to Seattle each day during rush hour traffic over this bridge, I still believe that the 4-lane alternative is better for the local neighborhoods and the city as a whole. I am willing to personally be impacted by the fewer lanes for the greater good of Seattle!

In addition, the Arboretum is an urban jewel and any impact to it degrades the quality of life in this ever more intensely urban environment.

Comment Category: Comments on Alternatives

Comment Location: Chapter-12, Page-1

Comment:

I wish to voice my very strong opposition to the 6-lane design of the 520 bridge. This is a watershed issue for me: are you in favor of Seattle livability, lower pollution, and public transportation? If so, the four-lane alternative is the better choice.

Even though I travel to Bellevue and back to Seattle each day during rush hour traffic over this bridge, I still believe that the 4-lane alternative is better for the local neighborhoods and the city as a whole. I am willing to personally be impacted by the fewer lanes for the greater good of Seattle!

In addition, the Arboretum is an urban jewel and any impact to it degrades the quality of life in this ever more intensely urban environment.

I-0833-001

Comment Summary:

4-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0833-002

Comment Summary:

Arboretum (Concerns)

Response:

See Section 9.3 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0833-001

I-0833-002