

Online Comment by User: Scott Meyer

Submitted on: 10/30/2006 10:51:00 PM

Comment Category: Comments on Alternatives

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

Address: 3612 S. Spokane St., Seattle, WA 98144

Comment:

I-0858-001

I oppose any option that would damage the Arboretum or its wetlands. I am particularly against the Pacific Interchange option, which would ruin views from just about every part of the wetlands and would fill the serene area with vehicle noise. I frequently kayak and take nature walks through the Arboretum wetlands with friends, family and out of town visitors. They marvel at the Arboretum and wetlands. The area is an amazing gem - a unique piece of nature and tranquility in the middle of a hectic city. It is an huge asset to the citizenry of Seattle and to the environment. Shading it with a huge bridge over Marsh Island, destroying views and disrupting the peace of this lovely natural area is unconscionable. Furthermore, the Pacific Interchange - the biggest misnomer in ages, as there will be nothing pacific about it - is too expensive, costing \$500 million more than the next alternative. Do we really need to spend an extra half billion dollars to ruin this priceless place? I don't think so.

I-0858-002

I-0858-001

Comment Summary:

Arboretum (Concerns)

Response:

See Section 9.3 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0858-002

Comment Summary:

Pacific Street Interchange Option

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.