

Online Comment by User: Shelley

Submitted on: 10/6/2006 9:52:00 AM

Comment Category: Comment on all alternatives

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

Address: , , 98112

Comment:

I-0873-001 |

I am opposed to the 6 lane proposal for SR 520.

I-0873-002 |

I am mostly opposed for the negative environmental impact the additional lanes of 520 will bring to the wetlands and surrounding neighborhoods. Additionally I oppose the degradation of the landscape Olmstead intended for the Arboretum.

Have the council leaders who favor the expansion forgotten that this is a historical site that will be forever lost if the proposal to expand 520 goes through?

It would be a terrible loss to our beautiful city to degrade this park even further than it already has been with the initial phase of 520 running right through it.

As a 3rd generation Seattleite, I must stand up for every last bastion of the fine and uniquely beautiful city this was and should continue to be.

Thank you for your kind support.

Shelley Hightower

I-0873-001

Comment Summary:

6-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0873-002

Comment Summary:

Olmstead Resources

Response:

See Section 11.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.